arXiv:1610.00421v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 3 Oct 2016

BEC polaron in harmonic trap potential at weak coupling regime: Lee-Low-Pines type approach

Eiji Nakano[1], Hiroyuki Yabu[2], and Kei Iida[1*]

[1]*Department of Physics, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan and*

[2]*Department of Physics, Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Siga 525-8577, Japan*

(Dated: October 4, 2016)

Abstract

We have calculated the zero-temperature binding energy of a single impurity atom immersed in a Bose-Einstein condensate of ultracold atoms that are trapped in an axially symmetric harmonic potential, where the impurity interacts with bosonic atoms in the condensate via a low-energy s-wave scattering. In this case, bosons are excited around the impurity to form a quasiparticle, namely, a BEC polaron. We have developed a variational method, *a la* Lee-Low-Pines (LLP) theory for electron-phonon systems, for description of the polaron with a conserved angular momentum around the symmetric axis. It is found in numerical results that the binding energy between the impurity and the excited bosons break the degeneracy with respect to the total angular momentum of the polaron. The angular momentum is partially shared by the excited bosons, which is due to a mechanism similar to the drag effect on the polaron momentum by a phonon cloud in the LLP theory.

*Electronic address: e.nakano@kochi-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Polarons are a kind of elementary excitations in ionic crystals, in the form of electrons dressed by locally excited phonons, which provide a widely applicable physics concept for quasiparticles in various environmental mediums [1–4]. Recently many-body systems of trapped ultracold atoms allow us to access the properties of such quasiparticles in clean and controlled ways. Examples include theoretical studies on BEC and Fermi polarons that are impurity atoms immersed in Bose-Einstein condensed atoms [5–10] and degenerate Fermi atoms [11–16], respectively, as well as on polarons in optical lattices [17]. Experimental realizations of BEC polarons have been achieved first in weak coupling regime [18–20]. Then, recent experiments in strong coupling regime around the unitary limit has observed a behavior of the binding energy between an impurity and excited bosons in BEC using radio frequency (RF) spectroscopy and in-situ imaging technology [21–24], which shows a smooth crossover from a weak mean-field to strong molecular regime. In these experiments a number of bosonic atoms can be optically trapped to form a Bose-Einstein condensate, and an impurity atom immersed in the condensate starts to interact with bosons, which ends up with a polaron, i.e., an impurity accompanied by locally excited bosons. The interaction between the impurity and bosons is characterized by an s-wave scattering length for low energy dynamics, while its sign and strength can be tuned by the Feshbach resonance from a weak to strong coupling regime. The RF spectroscopy measures the energy shift between hyperfine states of the impurity due to the interaction, which corresponds to the binding (interaction) energy of the polaron.

These experimental results for the BEC polarons are as a whole in agreement with theoretical predictions which have been so far obtained entirely for spatially uniform systems [25–33], while the optical traps in experiments are well described by harmonic oscillator potentials. In the present study we investigate the properties of a BEC polaron in the case in which a single impurity atom and bosonic atoms in Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) are put in an axially symmetric harmonic potential in three dimensions and interact attractively with each other in a regime where the coupling is weak or even intermediate, but is still far away from the unitarity. Since a single component of the total angular momentum of the system is conserved in axially symmetric potentials, we focus on dependence of the polaron's binding energy on a given total angular momentum of the impurity and excited

bosons. We also figure out detailed physics, such as a drag effect by excited bosons, that underlies the mean-field result in the trapped systems, although the mean-field result is occasionally referred to as a reference theory at weak coupling regime.

This paper is organized as follows: In the section II we present the low energy effective Hamiltonian for a single impurity atom and bosons in an axially symmetric harmonic potential, and implement the Bogoliubov approximation to obtain a Yukawa type interaction between the impurity and excited bosons, assuming that most of the bosons are in BEC, i.e., at the lowest energy level. In the section III, we employ a variational method, *a la* Lee-Low-Pines (LLP) theory, to obtain the ground state for a given total angular momentum around the symmetric axis, when the state of the immersed impurity is specified in terms of the harmonic potential eigen states. In the section IV, we present numerical results for the properties of a polaron in various states, by utilizing the parameter values that are used in experiments. The last section is devoted to summary and outlooks.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

We consider a zero-temperature atomic many-body system of bosons and an impurity that are trapped in an axially symmetric harmonic potential in three dimensions. First, bosonic atoms (denoted by a symbol 'b') are condensed in the lowest energy level, and then a single impurity atom is introduced to interact attractively with bosons. Here we assume that the impurity is a fermion (denoted by a symbol 'f') for later convenience. A low-energy effective Hamiltonian for such a system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{eff} &= H_{ho}^{f}(\mathbf{r}) + \int_{\mathbf{r}'} \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') \left[H_{ho}^{b}(\mathbf{r}') + g\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \right] \phi(\mathbf{r}') && (1)\\
&= H_{ho}^{f}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{s} E_{s}^{b} b_{s}^{\dagger} b_{s} + g \sum_{s,s'} \phi_{s}^{b}(\mathbf{r})^{*} \phi_{s'}^{b}(\mathbf{r}) b_{s}^{\dagger} b_{s'}, && (2)\\
H_{ho}^{b,f}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{2m_{b,f}} \left(p_z^2 + p_r^2 + \frac{L_z^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{m_{b,f}\omega_{bt,ft}^2}{2} r^2 + \frac{m_{b,f}\omega_{b,f}^2}{2} z^2, && (3)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_z^2 \equiv -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$, $p_r^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, $L_z \equiv -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$ is the angular momentum operator around z axis (the axial symmetry holds around z axis), $\mathbf{r} = (r, \varphi, z)$ is the cylindrical coordinate of the impurity, $\omega_b\,(\omega_f)$ and $\omega_{bt}\,(\omega_{ft})$ are the frequencies of the harmonic potential in z and radial r direction, respectively, for bosons (impurity), and $g = \frac{2\pi a_{bf}}{m_r}$ is the coupling constant between the boson and impurity given in terms of an s-wave scattering length a_{bf}, which is

assumed to be negative and short, and the reduced mass $m_r = \frac{m_b m_f}{m_b+m_f}$ with m_b (m_f) being the mass of boson (impurity) [34]. We have ignored a possible boson-boson interaction, which would modify the excitation energy of bosons [35, 36], but as long as it is repulsive and very weak, would not bring qualitative changes in the present study. We have used the second quantized representation only for bosons, and expanded the boson field operator $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ in terms of the harmonic potential eigen functions $\phi_s^b(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) \;=\; \sum_s \phi_s^b(\mathbf{r})\, b_s, \tag{4}$$

where s denotes the quantum number of the eigen state whose single particle energy is given by E_s^b, and b_s ($b_s^\dagger$) is the corresponding annihilation (creation) operator. The explicit representation of a set of the quantum numbers will be given just below. We employ the abbreviation $\int_{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r r \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\varphi$ and the natural unit in which $\hbar = c = 1$ throughout the paper.

A. Bogoliubov type approximation

Since most of the bosons are in BEC in the case of weak coupling and zero temperature, we implement the Bogoliubov type approximation for the effective Hamiltonian, i.e., only interaction processes involving the condensed bosons are taken into account:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{eff} \simeq \mathcal{H} \;=\; & H_{ho}^f(\mathbf{r}) + E_0^b N_0 + g N_0 |\phi_0^b(\mathbf{r})|^2 \\ & + \sum_{s\neq 0} E_s^b b_s^\dagger b_s + g\sqrt{N_0} \sum_{s\neq 0} \left[\phi_0^b(\mathbf{r})^* \phi_s^b(\mathbf{r}) b_s + \phi_s^b(\mathbf{r})^* \phi_0^b(\mathbf{r}) b_s^\dagger\right], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $s = 0$ denotes the lowest energy level at which the bosons are condensed, and $b_0, b_0^\dagger \simeq \sqrt{N_0}$ with N_0 being the number of the condensed bosons. We then express the Hamiltonian explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} \;=\; & \frac{1}{2m_{b,f}}\left(p_z^2 + p_r^2 + \frac{L_z^2}{r^2}\right) + \frac{m_f \omega_{ft}^2}{2} r^2 + \frac{m_f \omega_f^2}{2} z^2 + E_0^b N_0 + g N_0 |\phi_{0,0,0}^b(r,\varphi,z)|^2 \\ & + {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' E_{n,n_t}^b b_{n,n_t,m}^\dagger b_{n,n_t,m} \\ & + g\sqrt{N_0} {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \left[\Phi_{n,n_t,m}(r,z) e^{im\varphi} b_{n,n_t,m} + \Phi_{n,n_t,m}^*(r,z) e^{-im\varphi} b_{n,n_t,m}^\dagger\right], \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the eigen energies and eigen functions with a normalization factor $\mathcal{N}$ for free bosons are given by

$$E^b_{n,n_t} = \omega_b \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \omega_{bt}\,(n_t + 1)\,, \tag{7}$$

$$\phi^b_{n,n_t,m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{N} e^{im\varphi} \Psi^b_{n_t,|m|}(r) \Psi^b_n(z) \tag{8}$$

with the eigen functions in z and radial directions [37],

$$\Psi^b_n(z) \equiv e^{-\frac{m_f \omega_b}{2} z^2} H_n\left(\sqrt{m_b \omega_b}\, z\right), \tag{9}$$

$$\Psi^b_{n_t,|m|}(r) \equiv r^{|m|} e^{-\frac{m_f \omega_{bt}}{2} r^2} \frac{\left(\frac{n_t - |m|}{2}\right)! \Gamma\left(|m| + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(|m| + 1 + \frac{n_t - |m|}{2}\right)} L^{(|m|)}_{\frac{n_t - |m|}{2}}\left(m_b \omega_{bt} r^2\right), \tag{10}$$

given in terms of the Hermite and Laguerre polynomials, $H_n(x)$ and $L^{(k)}_n(x)$, respectively. The primary quantum numbers in z and radial directions are given by $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and $n_t = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, respectively, and the energy level E^b_{n,n_t} is degenerate for the eigen values of L_z: $|m| = n_t, n_t - 2, n_t - 4, \cdots, 1$ or 0. We have also defined

$$\Phi_{n,n_t,m}(z, r) \equiv e^{-im\varphi} \phi^b_{n,n_t,m}(\mathbf{r}) \phi^b_{0,0,0}(\mathbf{r})^*, \tag{11}$$

and its complex conjugate $\Phi_{n,n_t,m}(z,r)^*$. Note that in the Hamiltonian (6) the symbol $\sum_{n,n_t,m}{}'$ denotes the summation over the boson's eigen states except $n = n_t = 0$ which corresponds to the BEC.

The eigen energy and its eigen function of a bare (free) impurity in a state of $(\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ determined by the harmonic potential are obtained by replacing the boson's mass and frequencies in (7,8) with those of the impurity:

$$E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} = \omega_f \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \omega_{ft}\,(n_t + 1)\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\phi^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{N} e^{i\bar{m}\varphi} \Psi^f_{\bar{n}_t,|\bar{m}|}(r) \Psi^f_{\bar{n}}(z). \tag{13}$$

III. VARIATIONAL METHOD *A LA* LLP THEORY

We are interested in the ground state and low-lying excited states of a single impurity atom immersed in the BEC background, as dictated by the Hamiltonian (6). In order to construct a solution that has a total angular momentum in z direction conserved, we first

use a gauge transformation S, i.e., cranking around z axis,

$$S \;=\; \exp\left(-i\varphi \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}\right), \tag{14}$$

which transforms the operators as follows:

$$S^{-1} b_{n,n_t,m} S \;=\; e^{-im\varphi} b_{n,n_t,m}, \quad S^{-1} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} S = e^{im\varphi} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m}, \tag{15}$$

$$S^{-1}\left(-i\partial_\varphi\right) S \;=\; -i\partial_\varphi - \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}. \tag{16}$$

Thus, the transformed Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned} H' \;\equiv\; & S^{-1}\mathcal{H}S \\ =\; & \frac{p_r^2}{2m_f} + \frac{1}{2m_f r^2}\left(-i\partial_\varphi - \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}\right)^2 + \frac{m_f \omega_{ft}^2}{2} r^2 \\ & + \frac{p_z^2}{2m_f} + \frac{m_f \omega_f^2}{2} z^2 + E_0^b N_0 + g N_0 |\phi_0^b(\mathbf{r})|^2 + {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' E^b_{n,n_t} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m} \\ & + g\sqrt{N_0}\, {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \left[\Phi_{n,n_t,m}(r,z) b_{n,n_t,m} + \Phi^*_{n,n_t,m}(r,z) b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In the gauge transformed system, the total angular momentum of the system (or a polaron) is converted to that of the impurity:

$$S^{-1}\left(-i\partial_\varphi + \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}\right) S = -i\partial_\varphi = L_z, \tag{18}$$

which is a conserved quantity: $[L_z, H'] = 0$. In this respect we can define the angular momentum operator of the impurity as

$$L_{\mathrm{imp},z} \equiv S^{-1}\left(-i\partial_\varphi\right) S = -i\partial_\varphi - \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}. \tag{19}$$

This is a very convenient property when we describe the system with a conserved total angular momentum of L_z. The cost we have to pay is that an interaction among bosons newly appears in the transformed Hamiltonian H'.

Now we take the expectation value of H' over an impurity state, which we approximate to be an eigen state determined by the harmonic potential for a bare impurity: $\phi_u^f(\mathbf{r})$ that

has a set of the quantum numbers $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ in (13),

$$H_u \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \phi_u^{f*}(\mathbf{r}) H' \phi_u^f(\mathbf{r}) \tag{20}$$

$$= E_u^f + E_0^b N_0 + g N_0 C_{0,0;u,u} + {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' E^b_{n,n_t} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \left(-2\bar{m}\hat{m} + \hat{m}^2\right)$$
$$+ g\sqrt{N_0} {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \left[\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u} b_{n,n_t,m} + \bar{C}^*_{n,n_t,m;u} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m}\right], \tag{21}$$

where we have introduced the operator for the total angular momentum (z component) of excited bosons,

$$\hat{m} \equiv \sum_{n,n_t,m} m\, b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}, \tag{22}$$

and defined the following quantities:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{r^2} |\phi_u^f(\mathbf{r})|^2, \tag{23}$$

$$\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \Phi_{n,n_t,m}(r,z) |\phi_u^f(\mathbf{r})|^2, \tag{24}$$

$$C_{0,0;u,u} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} |\phi_0^b(\mathbf{r})|^2 |\phi_u^f(\mathbf{r})|^2 = \bar{C}_{0,0,0;u}. \tag{25}$$

Note that the H_u gives an effective Hamiltonian for excited bosons around the impurity whose angular momentum $\bar{m}$ is equivalent to the total angular momentum of the system (a polaron) and that this impurity state is only an approximate solution in weak coupling, while becoming the exact one when the interaction is turned off. We can improve the solution, e.g., by overlapping different impurity states with the same $\bar{m}$, or solving the impurity state in a self-consistent potential generated by the excited bosons.

Next we take expectation value of H_u over a coherent state of the excited bosons, which is given by a unitary transformation of the boson's Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$:

$$|\phi_{ch}\rangle = \exp {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \left(f_{n,n_t,m} b^\dagger_{n,n_t,m} - f^*_{n,n_t,m} b_{n,n_t,m}\right)|0\rangle, \tag{26}$$

where $f_{n,n_t,m}$ (or its complex conjugate $f^*_{n,n_t,m}$) is a variational parameter. Its physical meaning is the probability amplitude of an excited boson being in a state of (n, n_t, m):

$$f_{n,n_t,m} = \langle \phi_{ch} | b_{n,n_t,m} | \phi_{ch} \rangle. \tag{27}$$

Then the expectation value of H_u, i.e., the energy of a polaron with a core impurity in a state $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E_u &\equiv \langle \phi_{ch} | H_u | \phi_{ch} \rangle \\ &= E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} + E^b_0 N_0 + g N_0 C_{0,0,u,u} + {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' E^b_{n,n_t} |f_{n,n_t,m}|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \left[-2\bar{m} {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' m |f_{n,n_t,m}|^2 + {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' m^2 |f_{n,n_t,m}|^2 + \left({\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' m |f_{n,n_t,m}|^2 \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + g\sqrt{N_0} {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \left[\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u} f_{n,n_t,m} + \bar{C}^*_{n,n_t,m;u} f^*_{n,n_t,m} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then taking the variation for the saddle-point condition as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta E_u}{\delta f^*_{n,n_t,m}} &= E^b_{n,n_t} f_{n,n_t,m} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \left[-2\bar{m}m + m^2 + 2m \left({\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' m |f_{n,n_t,m}|^2 \right) \right] f_{n,n_t,m} \\ &\quad + g\sqrt{N_0} \bar{C}^*_{n,n_t,m;u} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

we obtain a variational solution for the boson probability amplitude:

$$f_{n,n_t,m;u} = -g\sqrt{N_0} \bar{C}^*_{n,n_t,m;u} \left[E^b_{n,n_t} + \frac{m^2 - 2(1-\eta)\bar{m}m}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \right]^{-1}, \tag{30}$$

where we have assumed that the excited bosons by the impurity partially share the total angular momentum of a polaron $\bar{m}$ with a ratio $0 \le \eta \le 1$:

$$\eta\, \bar{m} = \sum_{n,n_t,m} m |f_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2. \tag{31}$$

We call η the drag parameter, since the above mechanism is very similar to the drag effect in uniform systems on a conserved polaron's total momentum [38]. We can determine the numerical value of the parameter η by solving the equation (31) with the solution (30). It should also be noticed that the variational solution (30) is now a function of $\bar{m}$, and the dependence on $\bar{m}$ brings an 'anisotropy' in the summation of m in (31) to make the right hand side finite.

Finally, by plugging the solution (30) back to (28), we obtain the expression for the energy

of the polaron in the state of $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} E_u &= E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} + E^b_0 N_0 + g N_0 C_{0,0;u,u} - \frac{1}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \left(\sum_{n,n_t,m} m |f_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\quad + g\sqrt{N_0} {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u} f_{n,n_t,m;u} \\ &\equiv E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} + E^b_0 N_0 + E_{\mathrm{mf},u} + E_{\mathrm{int},u}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we have defined the mean-field energy and the interaction energy, respectively, as

$$E_{\mathrm{mf},u} = g N_0 C_{0,0;u,u} = g N_0 \bar{C}_{0,0,0;u}, \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathrm{int},u} &= -\frac{\bar{m}^2 \eta^2}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u - N_0 g^2 {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \frac{|\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2}{E^b_{n,n_t} + \frac{m^2 - 2(1-\eta)\bar{m}m}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u} \\ &= -\frac{|\bar{m}| \eta^2 \omega_{ft}}{2} - N_0 g^2 {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' \frac{|\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2}{E^b_{n,n_t} + \frac{m^2 - 2(1-\eta)\bar{m}m}{2|\bar{m}|} \omega_{ft}}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In the interaction energy (34), the first term comes from decrease in the rotation energy of the impurity by the drag effect, while the second term looks like a second order perturbation result that arises from virtually excited bosons, although the non-perturbative nature is involved via the parameter η that is self-consistently determined from the variational solution. In fact, the denominator of the second term can be decomposed up to minus sign as

$$\left[E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} + \frac{\bar{m}^2_{\mathrm{imp}}}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \right] - \left[E^b_{n,n_t} + E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t} + \frac{(\bar{m}_{\mathrm{imp}} - m)^2}{2m_f} \left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_u \right], \tag{35}$$

where the first term corresponds to the impurity's single particle energy $E^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t}$, which is independent of $\bar{m}$, plus the rotation energy with $\bar{m}_{\mathrm{imp}} \equiv (1-\eta)\,\bar{m}$, the angular momentum of the impurity, while the second term corresponds to an intermediate state in which an excited boson of (n, n_t, m) takes the angular momentum m off the impurity.

We conclude this section by considering transition amplitudes by single boson emission. As will be shown in the next section, the ground state of a polaron is given by $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m}) = (0, 0, 0)$, while the other states correspond to excitations. The transition rate to a lower energy state by single boson emission is proportional to the matrix element squared in perturbative treatment: Defining a polaronic state in $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ by $|\psi_u(\mathbf{r})\rangle = S\phi^f_u(\mathbf{r})\,|\phi_{ch}\rangle_u$ with $|\phi_{ch}\rangle_u$ denoting the boson's coherent state (26) that has the solution (30) used for $f_{s;u}$, we obtain the matrix element between the initial polaronic state

u and the final polaronic state $u' = (\bar{n}', \bar{n}'_t, \bar{m}')$ accompanied by a boson emitted to a state $s = (n, n_t, m)$ as

$$A_{u\to(u',s)} \;=\; \int_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \psi_{u'}(\mathbf{r}) \left| b_s H_{\rm int}(\mathbf{r}) \right| \psi_u(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \delta_{m+\bar{m}',\bar{m}}\, g\sqrt{N_0} \sum_{s'} F_{s',s;u',u}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{s',s;u',u} \;=\; & \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s''} \left(f_{s'';u'} - f_{s'';u} \right)^2 \right] \\ & \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi^f_{\bar{n}'}(z)^* \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}'_t,\bar{m}'}(r)^* \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}}(z) \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(r) \left[\Phi_{s'}(r,z) f_{s;u} f_{s';u} + \Phi_{s'}(r,z)^* \left(f_{s;u} f^*_{s';u'} + \delta_{s,s'} \right) \right] \\ =\; & \delta_{s,s'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} {\rm d}z \int_0^{\infty} {\rm d}r r \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}'}(z)^* \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}'_t,\bar{m}'}(r)^* \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}}(z) \, \Psi^f_{\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(r) \, \Phi_s(r,z)^* + \mathcal{O}\left(g^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and $H_{\rm int}$ is the last term of the right side of Eq. (6). There appears a selection rule for the angular momentum $\delta_{m+\bar{m}',\bar{m}}$ in (36), and if we consider as well the energy conservation $E^f_u = E^b_s + E^f_{u'}$ to leading order in the coupling constant, the transition is allowed only in the special case of $\omega_f = \omega_b$ and $\omega_{ft} = \omega_{bt}$. This does not immediately imply the stability of the polaronic state u, since there exist other decay processes, e.g., three-body loss [39], which cannot be treated directly in our Hamiltonian.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We present numerical results for the properties of a polaron in the ground and low-lying excited states, employing the parameter values that are used in the experiment for the boson-fermion mixture of ^{87}Rb bosons in BEC and ^{40}K impurity fermions [24]:

$$N_0 \;=\; \frac{2}{2\pi} \times 10^5, \; a_{bf} = -187 a_0 \left(1 - \frac{-3.04}{B - 546.62} \right), \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{\omega_b}{2\pi} \;=\; 183\,{\rm Hz}, \; \frac{\omega_{bt}}{2\pi} = 37\,{\rm Hz}, \; \frac{\omega_f}{2\pi} = 281\,{\rm Hz}, \; \frac{\omega_{ft}}{2\pi} = 50\,{\rm Hz}, \tag{39}$$

where $a_0 = 5.29177 \times 10^{-11}$m, the Bohr radius, and the scattering length a_{bf} is tunable by an external field B[G]. For normalizations, we also use the boson's inverse length scale and zero point energy: $k_{\rm ref} \equiv (900 a_0)^{-1}$ and $E_{\rm ref}/2\pi\hbar \equiv 25\,{\rm kHz}$. Incidentally, we can estimate from N_0 an average density of BEC in the oval sphere of harmonic amplitudes as $n_0 = N_0 \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{\omega_b m_b}} \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{\omega_{bt} m_b}}^2 \right]^{-1} = 2.389 \times 10^{14} {\rm cm}^{-3}$, which is of the order of a peak density in the experiment.

A. Mean-field energy and interaction energy

We proceed to exhibit in Fig. 1 the mean-field and interaction energies, (33) and (34), for low-lying states of the impurity: $\bar{n} = 0, 1, 2$, $\bar{n}_t = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ($|\bar{m}| = \bar{n}_t, \bar{n}_t - 2, \bar{n}_t - 4, \cdots, 1\,\text{or}\,0$), at $(a_{bf}k_{\rm ref})^{-1} = -900a_0/187a_0 = -4.813$. Here we have taken the sum over (n, n_t) up to $(n_{\rm max} = 8, n_{t\rm max} = 2n_{\rm max})$ for boson excitations. We found a gradual convergence: Increase in $n_{\rm max}$ by 50 % results in a few % changes in η and $E_{\rm int}$. The mean-field



FIG. 1: (Color online) Mean-field energy (33) and interaction energy (34), plotted as a function of $\bar{n}_t$. The number affixed to each bar denotes the value of $|\bar{m}|$.

energy dominates the binding energy of a polaron in comparison with the interaction energy. The ground state is given by the impurity state $(\bar{n} = 0, \bar{n}_t = 0, \bar{m} = 0)$, followed by the first and second excited states of $(\bar{n} = 0, \bar{n}_t = 2, \bar{m} = 0)$ and $(\bar{n} = 1, \bar{n}_t = 0, \bar{m} = 0)$, which can be accounted for by a larger overlap of the wave function of BEC with those of $\bar{m} = 0$ impurity states than $\bar{m} \neq 0$ (see the mean-field energy (33) with (25)). It should be noted that the interaction energy for $\bar{m} = 0$ are equivalent to the second order perturbation due to virtual boson excitations, because $f_{n,n_t,m;u} = 0$ (or $\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;u} = 0$) for $m \neq 0$ in the solution (30) and hence only $m = 0$ states for boson excitations contribute to the summation in (34). This in turn leads to no drag effect $\eta = 0$ for $\bar{m} = 0$ via (31), as will be seen again later.

Looking into the dependence of the interaction energy on the quantum numbers $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$, we find that $|\bar{m}| = 1$ states for $\bar{n}_t = 1, 3, 5$ gain relatively larger interaction energies than others. This tendency can be understood from the fact that for the summation over a given m and $-m$ in (34), the denominator gets smaller for larger values of $|\bar{m}|$, while

the overlap integral $\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}$ in the numerator gets further smaller. From the above observation, it turns out that the interaction between the impurity and bosons breaks the degeneracy of the single particle energy E_u^f for a bare impurity state $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ with respect to $\bar{m}$, and that the mean-field and interaction energies split for $|\bar{m}| \leq \bar{n}_t$ where $\bar{n}_t \geq 2$ (the reflection symmetry still keeps any $\bar{m}$ and $-\bar{m}$ states degenerate).

B. Drag parameter η and the number of excited bosons

The above observation about the $\bar{m} \neq 0$ states also reflects the drag parameter (31) and the number of excited bosons due to the interaction with the impurity, which is defined by

$$N_{\rm exc} = \sum_{s\neq 0} \langle b_s^\dagger b_s \rangle = {\sum_{n,n_t,m}}' |f_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2 \tag{40}$$

with $f_{n,n_t,m;u}$ given by (30). As shown in Fig. 2, the drag parameter η becomes nonzero



FIG. 2: (Color online) Drag parameter (31) and the number of excited bosons (40), plotted as a function of $\bar{n}_t$. The number affixed to each bar denotes the value of $|\bar{m}|$.

for the $\bar{m} \neq 0$ states, which takes on an especially large value for the $|\bar{m}| = 1$ states for a reason similar to the case of the interaction energy. Also, the distribution of η has a common pattern to that of the number of excited bosons, except that the latter is nonzero even for the $\bar{m} = 0$ states. This behavior is natural because η is a fraction of the total angular momentum of a polaron carried by excited bosons.

The number of excited bosons also tells us if the present Bogoliubov type approximation is valid. Figure 2 shows that $N_{\rm exc}$ is about 0.1 at most. If $N_{\rm exc}$ is around unity, it



FIG. 3: (Color online) The polaron's binding energy (41) and the number of excited bosons (40) for $\bar{n}=0,1,2$, and $\bar{n}_t=0,1,2,3,4,5$, plotted as a function of the inverse scattering length $(a_{bf}k_{\rm ref})^{-1}=-4.813,-4,-3,-2$. Indication of the quantum numbers $(\bar{n}_t,\bar{m})$ for each bar is omitted.

obviously implies breakdown of the present approximation, so that we need to restore the four-point residual interaction between the impurity and excited bosons, which is responsible for correlation effects such as quasibound states among the impurity and bosons.

C. Extension to strong coupling regime and lighter bosons

Hitherto we have fixed the coupling strength g to a constant that lies in a weak coupling regime. Now we take some typical values of the coupling strength to observe how the system transforms toward the strong coupling regime, and illustrate in Fig. 3 the coupling strength dependence of the binding energy of a polaron, which is defined by

$$E_{\rm bound}\equiv E_{\rm mf}+E_{\rm int}, \tag{41}$$

and the number of excited bosons (40). The figure shows that the binding energy increases monotonically in magnitude and that there appears a bunch of excited states above the ground state $(\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m})=(0,0,0)$, which do not undergo level crossings with increasing coupling strength, and that the number of excited bosons, being largest for the state of $(\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,|\bar{m}|)=(0,1,1)$, increases above 0.5 at $(a_{bf}k_{\rm ref})^{-1}=-2$, which implies the limitation of the present approximation.

It is also interesting to see how our results are modified when bosons are significantly lighter than an impurity, since the LLP theory works better for relatively heavier impurities



FIG. 4: (Color online) The polaron's binding energy (41) and the number of excited bosons (40) for the boson mass of m_b and $m_b/4$, $(a_{bf}k_{\rm ref})^{-1} = -4.813$, $\bar{n} = 0$, plotted as a function of $\bar{n}_t$. The number affixed to each bar denotes the value of $|\bar{m}|$.

[38, 40]. * In the dimensionless expressions for the mean-field and interaction energies (see (A12) and (A13) in Appendix), the dependence on the boson and fermion mass can be factored out except for rescaling factors of the boson's coordinates in the wave functions, $z = R\zeta$ and $r = R_t\rho$, which propagates to the overlap integrals inherent in $\tilde{C}_{s,u}(R, R_t)$ in (A13). In the case of a heavy impurity and/or light bosons, the overlap of the wave functions between BEC and excited bosons gets larger at the origin in the integral, which leads to a possible enhancement of these energies.

In Fig. 4 we show the binding energy obtained by replacing the boson mass m_b with $m_b/4$, which is about a half of m_f. We find from the figure that the virtual excitation of bosons is favored and that a larger binding energy is gained in comparison with the results obtained for the boson mass m_b and the same coupling constant $1/a_{bf}k_{\rm ref} = -4.813$. It is interesting to observe that the number of excited bosons gets larger for higher angular momentum states, in contrast to the heavier boson case. This is because the overlap integrals associated with $\tilde{C}_{s,u}(R, R_t)$ in (A10) are enhanced for lighter bosons. These results imply that the salient features obtained in this study, such as the energy splitting and the drag effect for nonzero angular momentum states, become more prominent for $m_b \ll m_f$.

* The LLP theory is originally applied to a Fröhlich type Hamiltonian, which is essentially the same as ours (5) in the absence of the residual four-point interaction, and gives the exact solution at heavy impurity limit.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOKS

We have investigated the properties of a single BEC polaron trapped in an axially symmetric harmonic potential in a weak to intermediate coupling regime, and for this purpose we have developed a formulation based on an LLP type variational method [38]. We have obtained the mean-field energy (33) of $\mathcal{O}(g)$, whose magnitude is determined by the overlap of wave functions between the impurity and BEC states, and dominates the total binding energy of a polaron. We have also found that the interaction between the impurity and excited bosons breaks the degeneracy with respect to the total angular momentum around the symmetric axis. Our result shows that the interaction energy (34) includes an overall factor of $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ and also a non-perturbative effect through the coefficient η, the ratio of the angular momentum carried by excited bosons to the total angular momentum $\bar{m}$, but in the case of $\bar{m} = 0$ the interaction energy reduces to the second order perturbation theory involving virtual boson excitations. This situation is similar to the LLP description of spatially uniform electron-phonon systems, according to which a drag parameter η is introduced for the total momentum of a polaron. Possible improvement beyond the present approximation to which we have simply taken a single eingen state given by the harmonic potential for the impurity is that we can variationally determine the impurity state as well, especially for $\bar{m} = 0$.

For elaborate comparison with experimental results in a weak to strong coupling regime, there remain several steps: When the s-wave scattering for boson-boson interactions is turned on, the excitation spectrum of the boson sector would be modified, e.g., in the Thomas-Fermi regime the energy dependence on the quantum numbers would be drastically changed [35, 36], so that we would have to consider an interacting boson system to obtain the single particle states for expansion of the field operators [46]. In real situations, impurity atoms themselves can form many-body systems and hence possible realizations of many-polaron systems if they are dilute enough for the quasiparticle picture to be valid. In such cases we need to take into account the particle statistics of impurities, e.g., Pauli blocking effect for fermionic impurities, in addition to finite temperature effects, and also effects of interaction among polarons [43–45], which may modify the polaron properties such as the spectral width and life time.

For strong coupling near the unitary limit of the boson-impurity interaction, or even for

intermediate coupling, the present approximation seemingly breaks down. In these regimes we have to restore the four-point boson-impurity interaction, which has been discarded in the present Bogoliubov type approximation, but is responsible for scattering processes between the impurity and excited bosons and, around the unitary limit, for quasi bound states between them [31, 41, 42]. For a smooth description of trapped systems from a weak to strong coupling regime, it might be convenient to build such few-body correlations (quasi bound states) among the impurity and bosons into the present LLP type approximation [47].

Acknowledgments

E.N. is partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research through No. 16K05349 provided by JSPS, and K.I. is partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas through No. 24105008 provided by MEXT.

[1] See, for instance, Gerald D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Springer, 1990).

[2] For instance, see, A. S. Alexandrov, J. T. Devreese, *Advances in Polaron Physics*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences Vol. 159, (Springer, 2009).

[3] L. D. Landau, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion **3**, 664 (1933); L. Landau and S. Pekar, J. Exptl. Theor. Phys. **18**, 419 (1948); S. Pekar, J. Exptl. Theor. Phys. **19**, 796 (1949).

[4] H. Fröhlich, *Theory of Dielectrics*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949); H. Fröhlich, H. Pelzer, and S. Zienau, Phil. Mag. **41**, 221 (1950); H. Fröhlich, Adv. Phys. **3**, 325 (1954).

[5] F. M. Cucchietti and E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 210401 (2006).

[6] K. Sacha and E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 063604 (2006).

[7] J. Tempere, W. Casteels, M. K. Oberthaler, S. Knoop, E. Timmermans, and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 184504 (2009).

[8] W. Casteels, T. Van Cauteren, J. Tempere, and J. T. Devreese, Laser Physics **21**, 8, pp 1480-1485 (2011).

[9] Y. E. Shchadilova, F. Grusdt, A. N. Rubtsov, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. **A**93, 043606 (2016)

[10] R. S. Christensen, J. Levinsen, and G. M. Bruun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 160401 (2015)

[11] F. Chevy, Phys. Rev. A 74, 063628 (2006).

[12] C. Kohstall, M. Zaccanti, M. Jag, A. Trenkwalder, P. Massignan, G. M. Bruun, F. Schreck,

and R. Grimm, Nature 485, 615-618 (2012).

[13] M. Koschorreck, D. Pertot, E. Vogt, B. Fröhlich, M. Feld, and M. Köhl, Nature **485**, 619 (2012).

[14] J. Vlietinck, J. Ryckebusch, and K. Van Houcke, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 115133 (2013).

[15] Pietro Massignan, Matteo Zaccanti, and Georg M. Bruun, Reports on Progress in Physics, Volume 77, Number 3, (2014).

[16] W. Yi and X. Cui Phys. Rev. A **92**, 013620 (2015).

[17] M. Bruderer, Alexander Klein, Stephen R. Clark, and Dieter Jaksch, Phys. Rev. A, **76**(R):011605 (2007); New J. Phys. **10**, 033015 (2008).

[18] André Schirotzek, Cheng-Hsun Wu, Ariel Sommer, and Martin W. Zwierlein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 230402 (2009).

[19] J. Catani, G. Lamporesi, D. Naik, M. Gring, M. Inguscio, and F. Minardi, Phys. Rev. **A** 85, 023623 (2012).

[20] R. Scelle, T. Rentrop, A. Trautmann, T. Schuster, and M. K. Oberthaler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 070401 (2013).

[21] M. Hohmann, F. Kindermann, B. Gänger, T. Lausch, D. Mayer, F. Schmidt and A. Widera, EPJ Quantum Technology 2:23, (2015)

[22] E. Compagno, G. De Chiara, D. G. Angelakis, and G. M. Palma, arXiv:1410.8833v1.

[23] Nils B. Jørgensen, Lars Wacker, Kristoffer T. Skalmstang, Meera M. Parish, Jesper Levinsen, Rasmus S. Christensen, Georg M. Bruun, Jan J. Arlt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 055302 (2016).

[24] Ming-Guang Hu, Michael J. Van de Graaff, Dhruv Kedar, John P. Corson, Eric A. Cornell, and Deborah S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 055301 (2016).

[25] A. Shashi, F. Grusdt, D. A. Abanin, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. A, **89**, 053617 (2014).

[26] S. P. Rath and R. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. A, **88**, 053632 (2013)

[27] J. Vlietinck, W. Casteels, K. Van Houcke, J. Tempere, J. Ryckebusch, and J. T. Devreese, New J. Phys. **17**,033023 (2015).

[28] F. Grusdt, Y. E. Shchadilova, A. N. Rubtsov, and E. Demler, Sci. Rep. **5**, 12124 (2015).

[29] F. Grusdt and M. Fleischhauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 053602 (2016).

[30] T. Yin, D. Cocks and W. Hofstetter, Phys. Rev. A, **92**, 063635 (2015).

[31] Jesper Levinsen, Meera M. Parish, and Georg M. Bruun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 125302 (2015).

[32] R. Schmidt and T. Enss, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 063620 (2011).

[33] L. A. Peña Ardila and S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. **A** 92, 033612 (2015).

[34] See, for instance, C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).

[35] Franco Dalfovo, Stefano Giorgini, Lev P. Pitaevskii, and Sandro Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).

[36] See, for instance, L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford, New York, 2003).

[37] *Quantum mechanics in one- and two-electron atoms*, Hans A. Bethe and Edwin E. Salpeter, (Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, 1977).

[38] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **90**, No.2, 297-302 (1953).

[39] Nicolas Spethmann, Farina Kindermann, Shincy John, Claudia Weber, Dieter Meschede, and Artur Widera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 235301 (2012).

[40] S. S. Schweber, *An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory*, (Dover Publications, New York, 2005).

[41] W. Casteels, J. Tempere, and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. **A** 88, 013613 (2013).

[42] P. Naidon, arXiv: 1607.04507.

[43] W. Casteels, J. Tempere, and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 063612 (2011).

[44] W. Casteels, J. Tempere and J. T. Devreese, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **217**, 163 (2013).

[45] E. Nakano, and H. Yabu, Phys. Rev. **B** 93, 205144 (2016).

[46] Y. Japha, and Y. B. Band, Phys. Rev. **A** 84, 033630 (2011).

[47] Yulia E. Shchadilova, Richard Schmidt, Fabian Grusdt, and Eugene Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 113002 (2016).

Appendix A: Dimensionless variables for numerical calculations

We introduce the dimensionless variables, $\zeta \equiv \sqrt{m_f\omega_f}z$ and $\rho \equiv \sqrt{m_f\omega_{ft}}r$, and the normalized eigen functions as follows:

$$\phi_{n,n_t,m}(\zeta,\rho,\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{im\varphi}\psi_n(\zeta)\psi_{n_t,|m|}(\rho), \tag{A1}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\zeta\psi_n^*(\zeta)\psi_{n'}(\zeta) = \delta_{n,n'}, \quad \int_0^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\rho\rho\psi_{n_t,|m|}^*(\rho)\psi_{n_t',|m|}(\rho) = \delta_{n_t,n_t'}, \tag{A2}$$

where

$$\psi_n(\zeta) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n n!\sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2} H_n(\zeta), \tag{A3}$$

$$\psi_{n_t,|m|}(\rho) := \sqrt{2\frac{\left(\frac{n_t-|m|}{2}\right)!}{\left(\frac{n_t+|m|}{2}\right)!}} \rho^{|m|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} L^{|m|}_{\frac{n_t-|m|}{2}}\left(\rho^2\right). \tag{A4}$$

Relations to the normalized eigen functions with the original coordinates are given by

$$\Psi_n(z) = (m_f\omega_f)^{1/4}\,\psi_n(\sqrt{m_f\omega_f}z), \tag{A5}$$

$$\Psi_{n_t,m}(r) = (m_f\omega_{ft})^{1/2}\,\psi_{n_t,m}(\sqrt{m_f\omega_{ft}}r). \tag{A6}$$

For numerical calculations, various quantities are given in terms of the corresponding dimensionless quantities:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}} = m_f\omega_{ft}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\rho\rho^{-1}|\psi_{\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(\rho)|^2 = \frac{m_f\omega_{ft}}{|m|}, \tag{A7}$$

where $|\bar{m}| = \bar{n}_t, \bar{n}_t-2, \bar{n}_t-4, \cdots, 1$ or 0,

$$\bar{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi_{n,n_t,m}(\mathbf{x})\left|\phi^f_{\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(\mathbf{x})\right|^2 = \sqrt{\omega_b m_b}\,\omega_{bt} m_b\,\tilde{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(R,R_t), \tag{A8}$$

where $R = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_b m_b}{\omega_f m_f}}$, $R_t = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{bt} m_b}{\omega_{ft} m_f}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(R,R_t) \equiv\ & \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\zeta\psi_0^*(R\zeta)\psi_n(R\zeta)\,|\psi_{\bar{n}}(\zeta)|^2 \\ & \times\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\rho\rho\psi^*_{0,0}(R_t\rho)\psi_{n_t,m}(R_t\rho)\,|\psi_{\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(\rho)|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

1. Probability amplitude

In the formula for the number of excited bosons (40), the probability amplitude squared can be rewritten in terms of the dimensionless variables as

$$|f_{n,n_t,m;u}|^2 = N_0G^2\frac{|\tilde{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(R,R_t)|^2}{\left[\frac{\omega_b}{\omega_{ft}}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\omega_{bt}}{\omega_{ft}}(n_t+1)+\frac{m^2-2(1-\eta)\bar{m}m}{2|\bar{m}|}\right]^2}, \tag{A10}$$

$$G \equiv (2\pi a_{bf}\sqrt{\omega_b m_b})\left(\frac{m_f}{m_b}+1\right)R_t^2. \tag{A11}$$

The above expression can also be used in the self-consistent equation (31) for η. Note that we cannot expand the right hand side of the self-consistent equation to linear order in $\bar{m}$, unlike the drag parameter for the polaron's total momentum in the LLP theory for uniform systems.

2. Mean-field and interaction energies

The mean-field and interaction energies for the state of $u = (\bar{n}, \bar{n}_t, \bar{m})$ become

$$\frac{E_{\mathrm{mf},u}}{\omega_{ft}} = N_0 G \, \tilde{C}_{0,0,0;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(R, R_t), \tag{A12}$$

$$\frac{E_{\mathrm{int},u}}{\omega_{ft}} = -\frac{|\bar{m}|\eta^2}{2} - N_0 G^2 \sum_{n,n_t(\neq 0),m} \frac{|\tilde{C}_{n,n_t,m;\bar{n},\bar{n}_t,\bar{m}}(R, R_t)|^2}{\frac{\omega_b}{\omega_{ft}}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\omega_{bt}}{\omega_{ft}}(n_t+1) + \frac{m^2-2(1-\eta)\bar{m}m}{2|\bar{m}|}}. \tag{A13}$$